arXiv:1303.0524v3 [math.RA] 10 Mar 2013

NEW VERSION OF A GENERALIZATION OF INJECTIVE AND PROJECTIVE COMPLEXES

TAHİRE ÖZEN AND EMİNE YILDIRIM

ABSTRACT. Let $\mathcal{X}$ be a class of R-modules. In this paper, we investigate $\mathcal{X}$-(f.g.)injective ((f.g.)projective) and DG-$\mathcal{X}$-injective (projective) complexes which are generalizations of injective (projective) and DG-injective (projective) complexes. We prove that some known results can be extended to the class of $\mathcal{X}$-(f.g.)injective ((f.g.)projective) and DG-$\mathcal{X}$-injective (projective) complexes for this general settings.

1. INTRODUCTION

Throughout this paper, R denotes an associative ring with identity and all modules are unitary. Let $\mathcal{X}$ be a class of R-modules. An R-module E is called $\mathcal{X}$-injective (see [5]), if $Ext^1(B/A, E) = 0$ for every module $B/A \in \mathcal{X}$ or equivalently if E is injective with respect to every exact sequence $0 \to A \to B \to B/A \to 0$ where $B/A \in \mathcal{X}$. Dually it can be defined an $\mathcal{X}$-projective module. In section 2, we define $\mathcal{X}$-(f.g.)injective, $\mathcal{X}$-(f.g.)projective, DG-$\mathcal{X}$-injective and DG-$\mathcal{X}$-projective complexes which are generalizations of injective, projective, DG-injective and DG-projective complexes (see [1]) where f.g. abbreviates finitely generated. By [1] we know that (ε, DG-injective) is a hereditary cotorsion pair and this cotorsion pair has enough injectives and enough projectives where ε is a class of all exact complexes. We give some sufficient conditions that ($\varepsilon_{\mathcal{X}}$,DG-$\mathcal{X}$-injective) is also a hereditary cotorsion pair where $\varepsilon_{\mathcal{X}}$ is a class of all exact complexes whose kernels are in $\mathcal{X}$ and what [3] denotes $\widetilde{\mathcal{X}}$ and calls $\mathcal{X}$-complexes. We prove that ${\varepsilon_{\mathcal{X}}}^{\perp}({}^{\perp}\varepsilon_{\mathcal{X}})$ = DG-$\mathcal{X}$-injective(projective) if $\mathcal{X}$ is extension closed and moreover if $\mathcal{X}$ is the class of finitely presented modules and $(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{X}^{\perp})$ is a cotorsion pair, then ($\varepsilon_{\mathcal{X}}$,DG-$\mathcal{X}$-injective) is a cotorsion pair and at the same time if $\mathcal{X}$ is closed under taking kernels of epics, then $DG-\mathcal{X}-injective \cap \varepsilon = \varepsilon_{\mathcal{X}-injective} \subseteq C(\mathcal{X}-f.g.injective)$.

Date: March 13, 2013.

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 18G35.

Key words and phrases. Injective (Projective) Complex, Precover, Preenvelope.

In the last section, we will investigate when a complex has an exact C($\mathcal{X}$-(f.g.)projective((f.g.)injective))-precover(preenvelope). We know that an injective (projective) complex is exact. Moreover we give some conditions that an $\mathcal{X}$-(f.g.)injective ((f.g.)projective) complex is exact. Since every complex has an injective and projective resolution, we can compute the right derived functors $Ext^i(X,Y)$ of $Hom(-,-)$ where $Hom(X,Y)$ is the set of all chain maps from X to Y. Moreover $\mathcal{H}om(X,Y)$ is the complex defined by $\mathcal{H}om(X,Y)_n = \prod_{p+q=n} Hom(X_{-p}, Y_q)$. (See for more details and the other definitions [1],[2],[6]).

2. $\mathcal{X}$-INJECTIVE AND $\mathcal{X}$-PROJECTIVE COMPLEXES

We begin with giving generalized definitions.

Definition 2.1. *Let $\mathcal{X}$ be a class of R-modules. A complex $\mathcal{C} : \ldots \longrightarrow C^{n-1} \longrightarrow C^n \longrightarrow C^{n+1} \longrightarrow \ldots$ is called an $\mathcal{X}^* -$ (cochain) complex, if $C^i \in \mathcal{X}$ for all $i \in \mathbb{Z}$. A complex $\mathcal{C} : \ldots \longrightarrow C_{n+1} \longrightarrow C_n \longrightarrow C_{n-1} \longrightarrow \ldots$ is called an $\mathcal{X}^* -$ (chain) complex, if $C_i \in \mathcal{X}$ for all $i \in \mathbb{Z}$. The class of all $\mathcal{X}^* -$ complexes is denoted by $C(\mathcal{X}^*)$.*

We recall that a complex I is a finitely generated complex if every I^n is a finitely generated module and I is a bounded complex. Then we can give the following definition.

Definition 2.2. *A complex $\mathcal{C}$ is called an $\mathcal{X}$-(f.g.)injective complex, if $Ext^1(Y/X, C) = 0$ for every (f.g.)complex $Y/X \in C(\mathcal{X}^*)$. Equivalently, a complex $\mathcal{C}$ is an $\mathcal{X}$-(f.g.)injective complex if for any exact sequence $0 \to X \to Y \to Y/X \to 0$ with a (f.g.)complex $Y/X \in C(\mathcal{X}^*)$, the sequence $Hom(Y,C) \to Hom(X,C) \to 0$ is exact.*

Dually we can define an $\mathcal{X}$-(f.g.)projective complex. A complex $\mathcal{C}$ is called an $\mathcal{X}$-(f.g.)projective complex, if $Ext^1(C,X) = 0$ for every (f.g.) complex $X \in \mathcal{C}(\mathcal{X}^*)$, or equivalently a complex $\mathcal{C}$ is an $\mathcal{X}$-(f.g.)projective complex if for any exact sequence $0 \to X \to A \to B \to 0$ with a (f.g.)complex $X \in \mathcal{C}(\mathcal{X}^*)$, the sequence $Hom(C,A) \to Hom(C,B) \to 0$ is exact. We denote the class of all $\mathcal{X}$-(f.g.)injective (f.g.)projective) complexes by $C(\mathcal{X}$-(f.g.)injective((f.g.)projective)).

Definition 2.3. *Let ε be the class of exact complexes. Then we can define $\varepsilon_{\mathcal{X}}$ such that $\varepsilon_{\mathcal{X}}$ is the class of exact complexes with kernels in $\mathcal{X}$.*

Example 2.4. *If P is an $\mathcal{X} -$ projective($\mathcal{X} -$ injective) module, then $\overline{P} : \ldots \longrightarrow 0 \longrightarrow P \longrightarrow P \longrightarrow 0 \longrightarrow 0 \longrightarrow \ldots$ is an $\mathcal{X} -$ (f.g.)projective($\mathcal{X} -$ (f.g.)injective) complex. Moreover any direct sum (product) of $\mathcal{X}-$(f.g.)projective ($\mathcal{X}-$(f.g.)injective) complexes is* *again* $\mathcal{X}-(f.g.)projective(\mathcal{X}-(f.g.)injective)$. *Since C($\mathcal{X}$-(f.g.)injective((f.g.)projective)) is closed under extensions, every bounded exact complex* $Y: ...0 \rightarrow Y^0 \rightarrow ... \rightarrow Y^n \rightarrow 0...$ *with kernels $\mathcal{X}$-injective(projective) module is in C($\mathcal{X}$-(f.g.)injective((f.g.)projective)).*

Since every left (right) bounded exact complex with kernels $\mathcal{X}$-injective(projective) module is an inverse (direct)limit of bounded exact complexes with kernels $\mathcal{X}$-(f.g.)injective(projective) module, then every left (right) bounded exact complex with kernels $\mathcal{X}$-injective(projective) module is in C($\mathcal{X}$-(f.g.)injective((f.g.)projective)).

If $\mathcal{X}$ is a class of finitely presented modules, then since every exact complex with kernels $\mathcal{X}$-injective module is a direct limit of left bounded exact complex with kernels $\mathcal{X}$-injective module, every exact complex with kernels $\mathcal{X}$-injective module is in C($\mathcal{X}$-f.g. injective), that is $\varepsilon_{\mathcal{X}-injective} \subseteq C(\mathcal{X} - f.g.injective)$.

Moreover if $\mathcal{X} - injective \subseteq \mathcal{X}$, *then every* $\epsilon_{\mathcal{X}-injective}$ *complex is a direct sum of injective complexes the same as injective complexes and similarly if* $\mathcal{X} - projective \subseteq \mathcal{X}$, *then every* $\epsilon_{\mathcal{X}-projective}$ *complexes is a direct sum of projective complexes. Then* $\varepsilon_{\mathcal{X}-injective(projective)} \subseteq C(\mathcal{X} - injective(projective))$.

Notice that if P is an $\mathcal{X}$-injective($\mathcal{X}$-projective) module and P is not in the class $\mathcal{X}$, then $\overline{P}$ is an $\mathcal{X}$-(f.g.)injective complex, but not an $\mathcal{X}^*$-complex. So $\mathcal{X}$-(f.g.)injective ((f.g.)projective) complex may not be an $\mathcal{X}^*$-complex.

Lemma 2.5. *Let X be an* $\mathcal{X} - injective$ *complex such that* $\frac{E(X)}{X} \in C(\mathcal{X}^*)$ *(or* $\frac{Y}{X} \in C(\mathcal{X}^*)$*) where $E(X)$ is an injective envelope of X. Then $X = E(X)$ and so it is an injective complex.(X is a direct summand of Y).*

Proof. We know that every complex has an injective envelope, so X has an injective envelope $E(X)$. Then $E(X)$ is an injective complex, and so it is exact. We have the following commutative diagram;

$$\begin{tikzcd}
0 \arrow[r] & X \arrow[r, "i"'] \arrow[d, "id_x"'] & E(X) \arrow[dl, dotted, "\phi"] \\
& X &
\end{tikzcd}$$

such that $\phi i = id_x$. Therefore X is a direct summand of $E(X)$. So X is an injective complex and hence it is exact. Similarly, if $\frac{Y}{X} \in C(\mathcal{X}^*)$, then we can prove that X is a direct summand of Y. $\square$

Definition 2.6. *A complex I is called DG-$\mathcal{X}$-injective, if each I^n is $\mathcal{X}$-injective and $\mathcal{H}om(E, I)$ is exact for all $E \in \varepsilon_{\mathcal{X}}$. A complex I is called DG-$\mathcal{X}$-projective, if each I^n is $\mathcal{X}$-projective and $\mathcal{H}om(I, E)$ is exact for all $E \in \varepsilon_{\mathcal{X}}$.*

Lemma 2.7. *Let $A \xrightarrow{\beta} B \xrightarrow{\theta} C$ be an exact sequence of modules (complexes) where $Ker\beta \in \mathcal{X}(C(\mathcal{X}^*))$. Then for all $\mathcal{X}$-projective modules (complexes) I, $Hom(I, A) \longrightarrow Hom(I, B) \longrightarrow Hom(I, C)$ is exact.*

Proof. By the exact sequence $0 \longrightarrow Ker\theta \xrightarrow{i} B \xrightarrow{\theta} C$, $0 \longrightarrow Hom(I, Ker\theta) \longrightarrow Hom(I, B) \longrightarrow Hom(I, C)$ is exact. We have the following commutative diagram;

$$\begin{tikzcd}
A \arrow[r, "\beta"] & Im\beta \arrow[r] & 0 \\
& I \arrow[u, "g"'] \arrow[ul, dotted, "f"] &
\end{tikzcd}$$

such that $\beta f = g$. Since I is $\mathcal{X}$-projective module (complex) and $Ker\beta \in \mathcal{X}$ $(C(\mathcal{X}^*))$, $\mathrm{Hom}(I, A) \longrightarrow \mathrm{Hom}(I, B) \longrightarrow \mathrm{Hom}(I, C)$ is exact. ☐

Dually we can give the following lemma;

Lemma 2.8. *Let $A \xrightarrow{\beta} B \xrightarrow{\theta} C$ be an exact sequence of modules (complexes) where $\frac{C}{Im\theta} \in \mathcal{X}(C(\mathcal{X}^*))$. Then for all $\mathcal{X}$-injective modules (complexes) I, $Hom(C, I) \longrightarrow Hom(B, I) \longrightarrow Hom(A, I)$ is exact.*

Example 2.9. *Let $I = ... \longrightarrow 0 \longrightarrow I^0 \longrightarrow 0 \longrightarrow 0 \longrightarrow ...$ where I^0 is an $\mathcal{X}$-injective($\mathcal{X} - projective$) module. Then I is DG-$\mathcal{X}$-injective (DG-$\mathcal{X}$-projective) complex.*

Proof. Let $E : ... \longrightarrow E^{-1} \xrightarrow{d^{-1}} E^0 \xrightarrow{d^0} E^1 \xrightarrow{d^1} E^2 \xrightarrow{d^2} E^3 \longrightarrow ...$ be exact and $Kerd^n \in \mathcal{X}$, then $\mathcal{H}om(E, I) \cong ...Hom(E^2, I^0) \longrightarrow Hom(E^1, I^0) \longrightarrow Hom(E^0, I^0)....$ By Lemma 2.8 $\mathcal{H}om(E, I)$ is exact. ☐

Lemma 2.10. *If a complex $X : \ldots \longrightarrow X_{n+1} \longrightarrow X_n \longrightarrow X_{n-1} \longrightarrow \ldots$ is an $\mathcal{X}$-injective($\mathcal{X}$-projective) complex, then for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ X_n is an $\mathcal{X}$-injective($\mathcal{X}$-projective) module. Moreover if $\mathcal{X}$ is a class of finitely generated modules and X is an $\mathcal{X}$- f.g. injective (projective) complex, then for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ X_n is an $\mathcal{X}$-injective($\mathcal{X}$-projective) module.*

Proof. Let $0 \longrightarrow N \xrightarrow{i} M$ be exact such that $\frac{M}{N} \in \mathcal{X}$ and $\alpha : N \to X_n$ be linear form the pushout;

$$\begin{tikzcd}
N \arrow[r, "i"] \arrow[d, "\alpha"'] & M \arrow[d, dotted, "\gamma_n"'] \\
X_n \arrow[r, dotted, "\theta_n"] & \dfrac{X_n \oplus M}{A}
\end{tikzcd}$$

where $A = \{(\alpha(n), -i(n)) : n \in N\}$. By the following diagram;

$$\begin{tikzcd}
0 \arrow[r] & X_{n+1} \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & X_{n+1} \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & 0 \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & 0 \\
0 \arrow[r] & X_n \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & \dfrac{M \oplus X_n}{A} \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & \dfrac{M}{N} \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & 0 \\
0 \arrow[r] & X_{n-1} \arrow[r] & X_{n-1} \arrow[r] & 0 \arrow[r] & 0
\end{tikzcd}$$

we have the exact sequence $0 \longrightarrow X \longrightarrow T \longrightarrow S \longrightarrow 0$ where $T : ... \longrightarrow X_{n+2} \longrightarrow X_{n+1} \longrightarrow \frac{M \oplus X_n}{A} \longrightarrow X_{n-1}...$ and $S : ... \longrightarrow 0 \longrightarrow 0 \longrightarrow \frac{M}{N} \longrightarrow 0....$ Since X is a $\mathcal{X} - injective$ complex, $Ext^1(S, X)$ $= 0$, and so $0 \to Hom(S, X) \to Hom(T, X) \to Hom(X, X) \to Ext^1(S, X) = 0$. Therefore there exists $\beta_n : T_n = \frac{M \oplus X_n}{A} \longrightarrow X_n$ such that $\beta_n \theta_n = 1$. So

$$\beta^n \theta^n(\alpha(n)) = \alpha(n)$$

$$\beta^n((\alpha(n), 0) + A) = \alpha(n)$$

$$\beta^n((0, i) + A) = \alpha(n)$$

$$\beta^n \gamma_n i(n) = \alpha(n)$$

And hence $\beta^n \gamma_n i = \alpha$. So X_n is an $\mathcal{X}$-injective module. □

The following example shows that if $X : ... \to X_{n+1} \to X_n \to X_{n-1} \to$ ... is a complex such that X_n are $\mathcal{X}$-injective($\mathcal{X}$-projective) modules for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, then X does not need to be $\mathcal{X}$-(f.g.)injective ($\mathcal{X}$-(f.g.)projective) complex.

Example 2.11. *Let $R \in \mathcal{X}$ and $\mathcal{X}$-injective module and $f : R \to R \oplus R$ be a morphism such that $f(a) = (0, a)$ and $g : R \oplus R \to R$ be a morphism such that $g(a, b) = a$. Then $gf = 0$ where $g \neq 0$. Let we have the following diagrams;*

$$\begin{tikzcd}
...0 \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & R \arrow[r, "f"] \arrow[d, "f"] & R \oplus R \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "g"] & 0... \arrow[d] \\
...0 \arrow[r] & 0 \arrow[r] & R \arrow[r] & 0...
\end{tikzcd}$$

$$\begin{tikzcd}
...0 \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & R \arrow[r, "f"] \arrow[d, "f"] & R \oplus R \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "1"] & 0... \arrow[d] \\
...0 \arrow[r] & R \oplus R \arrow[r, "1"] & R \oplus R \arrow[r] & 0...
\end{tikzcd}$$

Then we have the diagram as follow,

$$\begin{tikzcd}
...0 \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & R \arrow[r, "f"] \arrow[d, "f"] & R \oplus R \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "1"] & 0... \arrow[d] \\
...0 \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & R \oplus R \arrow[r, "1"] \arrow[d] & R \oplus R \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "g"] & 0... \arrow[d] \\
...0 \arrow[r] & 0 \arrow[r] & R \arrow[r] & 0...
\end{tikzcd}$$

such that $g1 = 0$. But this is impossible. So $\underline{R}$ cannot be an $\mathcal{X}$-injective complex. Dually, we can give an example for $\mathcal{X}$-projectivity.

Remark 2.12. *There exists a module is both in $\mathcal{X}$ and an $\mathcal{X}$-injective module. Let $\mathcal{X}$ be a class of injective modules and R be an injective module, then R is both in $\mathcal{X}$ and an $\mathcal{X}$-injective module. Moreover let a module M be a flat cotorsion module (see Theorem 5.3.28 in* [2] *for existence of such a module) and $\mathcal{X}$ be a class of flat modules, so is M.*

Lemma 2.13. *If $I \in \varepsilon_{\mathcal{X}}^{\perp}$, then each I^n is $\mathcal{X}$-injective for each $n \in \mathbb{Z}$.*

Proof. Let $S \subseteq M$ be a submodule of a module M with $\frac{M}{S} \in \mathcal{X}$ and $\alpha : S \longrightarrow I_n$ be linear form the pushout;

$$\begin{tikzcd}
S \arrow[r, "i"] \arrow[d, "\alpha"'] & M \arrow[d, dotted, "i_1"'] \\
I^n \arrow[r, dotted, "i_2"] & \dfrac{I^n \oplus M}{A} = I^n \oplus_S M
\end{tikzcd}$$

where $A = \{(\alpha(s), -s) : s \in S\}$. Thus i_2 is one-to-one the same as i. Then $\overline{I} : ... \longrightarrow I^{n-1} \longrightarrow I^n \oplus_S M \longrightarrow I^{n+1} \longrightarrow I^{n+2} \longrightarrow ...$ is a complex.

$$\begin{tikzcd}
0 \arrow[r] & I^{n-1} \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & I^{n-1} \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & 0 \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & 0 \\
0 \arrow[r] & I^n \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & I^n \oplus_S M \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & \dfrac{M}{S} \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & 0 \\
0 \arrow[r] & I^{n+1} \arrow[r] & I^{n+1} \arrow[r] & \dfrac{M}{S} \arrow[r] & 0
\end{tikzcd}$$

Therefore, we have an exact sequence $0 \longrightarrow I \longrightarrow \overline{I} \longrightarrow E \longrightarrow 0$ where $E : ... \longrightarrow \frac{M}{S} \longrightarrow \frac{M}{S} \longrightarrow 0 \longrightarrow 0 \longrightarrow 0 \longrightarrow ...$ and so we have an exact sequence $0 \longrightarrow Hom(E, I) \longrightarrow Hom(\overline{I}, I) \longrightarrow Hom(I, I) \longrightarrow Ext^1(E, I) = 0$ since $I \in \varepsilon_{\mathcal{X}}^{\perp}$. This implies that we can find $\overline{f} : \overline{I} \longrightarrow I$ with $\overline{f} f = 1$. Therefore, there exists a function $\overline{f}^n : I^n \oplus_S M \longrightarrow I^n$ with $\overline{f}^n f^n = 1$. So,

$$\overline{f}^n f^n(\alpha(s)) = \alpha(s)$$
$$\overline{f}^n((\alpha(s), 0) + A) = \alpha(s)$$
$$\overline{f}^n((0, s) + A) = \alpha(s)$$
$$\overline{f}^n i_1 i(s) = \alpha(s)$$

and hence $\overline{f}_n i_1 i = \alpha$ and thus each $I^n \in \mathcal{X}$-injective.

□

Lemma 2.14. *Let $f : X \longrightarrow Y$ be a morphism of complexes. Then the exact sequence $0 \longrightarrow Y \longrightarrow M(f) \longrightarrow X[1] \longrightarrow 0$ associated with the mapping cone $M(f)$ splits if and only if f is homotopic to 0.*

Proof. It follows from [1]. ☐

Lemma 2.15. *Let X and I be complexes. If $Ext^1(X, I[n]) = 0$ for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, then $\mathcal{H}om(X, I)$ is exact.*

Proof. Since $Ext^1(X, I[n]) = 0$, if $f : X[-1] \to I[n]$ is a morphism, then $0 \to I[n] \to M(f) \to X \to 0$ splits.

By Lemma 2.14, $f : X[-1] \to I[n]$ is homotopic to zero for all n. So $f^1 : X \to I[n+1]$ is homotopic to zero for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}$. Thus $\mathcal{H}om(X, I)$ is exact. ☐

In [3] the following theorem is proved in the case when $(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{X}^{\perp})$ is a cotorsion pair.

Theorem 2.16. *Let $\mathcal{X}$ be extension closed. Then ${\varepsilon_{\mathcal{X}}}^{\perp}({}^{\perp}\varepsilon_{\mathcal{X}})$ = DG-$\mathcal{X}$-injective(projective).*

Proof. By Lemma 2.13 and Lemma 2.15 we have that ${\varepsilon_{\mathcal{X}}}^{\perp}({}^{\perp}\varepsilon_{\mathcal{X}}) \subseteq$ DG-$\mathcal{X}$-injective(projective). Let $I \in$ DG-$\mathcal{X}$-injective. Then $\mathcal{H}om(X, I)$ is exact for all $X \in \varepsilon_{\mathcal{X}}$ and so for all n $f : X \to I[n]$ is homotopic to zero. By Lemma 2.14 $A : 0 \to I[n] \to M(f) \to X[1] \to 0$ is split exact. We know that any exact complex $B : 0 \to I[n] \to Y \to X[1] \to 0$ splits at module level since the $I[n]^m$ are $\mathcal{X}$-injective modules and $X^m \in \mathcal{X}$. Therefore the exact sequences A and B are isomorphic. It is known that $Ext^1(C, A) = 0$ if and only if every short exact sequence $0 \to A \to B \to C \to 0$ is split. This implies that $Ext^1(X, I[n]) = 0$ and thus the converse inclusion is proved. ☐

If we use Theorem 2.16, then we can give the following examples since $\mathcal{X}$ and ${\varepsilon_{\mathcal{X}}}^{\perp}({}^{\perp}\varepsilon_{\mathcal{X}})$ are extension closed and every right(left) bounded complex is a direct (inverse) limit of bounded complexes.

Example 2.17. *Let $\mathcal{X}$ be extension closed. Then every $\mathcal{X}$-projective (injective) complex is DG-$\mathcal{X}$-projective(injective). Every right(left) bounded complex I where I_i is an $\mathcal{X}$-projective(injective) module is a DG-$\mathcal{X}$-projective(injective) complex.*

Proposition 2.18. *Let $\mathcal{X}$ be closed under extensions, summands and direct limits. If $\mathcal{X}$ is a precovering class containing projective modules, then ($\varepsilon_{\mathcal{X}}$, DG-$\mathcal{X}$-injective) and (DG-$\mathcal{Y}$-projective,$\varepsilon_{\mathcal{Y}}$) are cotorsion pairs where $\mathcal{Y} = \mathcal{X}$-injective. If $\mathcal{X}$ is closed under taking kernels of epics and the class of finitely presented modules, then this cotorsion pairs are hereditary and DG-$\mathcal{X}$-injective$\cap\varepsilon = \varepsilon_{\mathcal{X}-injective} \subseteq$ $C(\mathcal{X} - f.g.injective)$. Moreover if $C(\mathcal{X}^*)$ is also a covering class , then every complex has a monic $C(\mathcal{X} -$ injective$)$ preenvelope with cokernel in $C(\mathcal{X}^*)$.*

Proof. Since $\mathcal{X}$ is a precovering class containing projective modules and it is closed under direct limits, it is an epic covering class . By Wakamatsu Lemma it is a special covering class, so $(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{X}^{\perp})$ is a complete cotorsion pair (see [2]). If $\mathcal{X}$ is closed under taking kernels of epics and the class of finitely presented modules, then ($\varepsilon_{\mathcal{X}}$, DG-$\mathcal{X}$-injective) is a hereditary cotorsion pair and $DG-\mathcal{X}-injective \cap \varepsilon = \varepsilon_{\mathcal{X}-injective} \subseteq C(\mathcal{X}-f.g.injective)$ by Corollary 3.13 in [3] and Example 2.4. The other parts are easy. ☐

Corollary 2.19. *Let $\mathcal{X}$ be closed under extensions, direct sum and pure quotients and let $\mathcal{X}$ contain the ring R. Then $(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{X}-injective)$ is a perfect cotorsion pair. Thus ($\varepsilon_{\mathcal{X}}$,DG-$\mathcal{X}$-injective) and (DG-$\mathcal{Y}$-projective,$\varepsilon_{\mathcal{Y}}$) are cotorsion pairs where $\mathcal{Y} = \mathcal{X}$-injective.*

Proof. By [4],it is closed under direct limit and summands and thus it is covering class containing projective modules. Then $(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{X}-injective)$ is a complete cotorsion pair. Since $\mathcal{X}$ is closed under direct limit, it is a perfect cotorsion theory. By Proposition 2.18 ($\varepsilon_{\mathcal{X}}$, DG-$\mathcal{X}$-injective) and (DG-$\mathcal{Y}$-projective,$\varepsilon_{\mathcal{Y}}$) are cotorsion pairs. ☐

Corollary 2.20. *Let $\mathcal{X}$ be the class of finitely presented modules and $(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{X}^{\perp})$ be a cotorsion pair. Then $(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{X}^{\perp})$, ($\varepsilon_{\mathcal{X}}$, DG-$\mathcal{X}$-injective) and (DG-$\mathcal{Y}$-projective,$\varepsilon_{\mathcal{Y}}$) are cotorsion pairs where $\mathcal{Y} = \mathcal{X}$-injective.*

Proof. Since $\mathcal{X}$ is the class of finitely presented modules, $\mathcal{X}^{\perp} = \mathcal{X} - injective$ is closed under pure submodules. Moreover it is closed under direct product and inverse limit. So $\mathcal{X}^{\perp}$ is an enveloping class by [1]. Since $(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{X}^{\perp})$ is a cotorsion pair, $\mathcal{X}^{\perp}$ is a special enveloping class with cokernel in $\mathcal{X}$. So again by [1] $(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{X}^{\perp})$ is a complete cotorsion pair. ☐

3. C($\mathcal{X}$-(F.G.)PROJECTIVE)-PRECOVERS AND C($\mathcal{X}$-(F.G.)INJECTIVE)-PREENVELOPES

In this section we prove that if a complex has a C($\mathcal{X}$-projective)-precover or C($\mathcal{X}$-injective)-preenvelope in C($\mathcal{X}^*$), then such precovers or preenvelopes are homotopic. Moreover we investigate when a complex has an exact C($\mathcal{X}$-(f.g.)projective((f.g.)injective))- precover(preenvelope) and we give a condition when an $\mathcal{X}$-(f.g.)projective((f.g.)injective) complex is exact .

Lemma 3.1. *Let $f : X \longrightarrow Y$ be a chain morphism, X is an $\mathcal{X}^*$ complex and Y is an $\mathcal{X} - injective$ complex. Then f is homotopic to zero. Moreover if a complex has a C($\mathcal{X}$-injective)-preenvelope in C($\mathcal{X}^*$), then such preenvelopes are homotopic.*

Proof. Let $id : X \longrightarrow X$, then we have the following exact sequence;

$$\begin{tikzcd}
0 \arrow[r] & X \arrow[r, "i"] \arrow[d, "f"] & M(id) \arrow[r] \arrow[dl, dotted, "g"] & X[1] \arrow[r] & 0 \\
& Y & & &
\end{tikzcd}$$

where $gi = f$. Let $i_1^n : X[1]^n \longrightarrow M(id)^n$ be canonical injection and $s^n : X[1]^{n-1} \longrightarrow Y^{n-1}$ such that $s^n = g^{n-1} i_1^{n-1}$ for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}$. Let u be the differential of the complex $M(id)$. Then we have the following diagram as follow.

$$\begin{tikzcd}
X^{n-1} \oplus X^{n-2} \arrow[r, "u^{n-2}"] \arrow[d, "g^{n-2}"] & X^n \oplus X^{n-1} \arrow[r, "u^{n-1}"] \arrow[d, "g^{n-1}"] & X^{n+1} \oplus X^n \arrow[d, "g^n"] \\
Y^{n-2} \arrow[r, "\gamma^{n-2}"] & Y^{n-1} \arrow[r, "\gamma^{n-1}"] & Y^n
\end{tikzcd}$$

$s^{n+1}\lambda^n + \gamma^{n-1}s^n = g^n i_1^n \lambda^n + \gamma^{n-1} g^{n-1} i_1^{n-1} = g^n i_1^n \lambda^n + g^n u^{n-1} i_1^{n-1} = g^n(i_1^n \lambda^n + u^{n-1} i_1^{n-1}) = g^n i^n = f^n$. □

Lemma 3.2. *Let $f : X \longrightarrow Y$ a chain homomorphism such that Y is an $\mathcal{X}^*$ complex and X is an $\mathcal{X}$-projective complex. Then f is a homotopic to zero. Moreover if a complex has a C($\mathcal{X}$-projective)-precover in $C(\mathcal{X}^*)$, then such precovers are homotopic.*

Proof. Let $id : Y \longrightarrow Y$ and the exact sequence $0 \longrightarrow Y[-1] \longrightarrow M(id)[-1] \longrightarrow Y \longrightarrow 0$. Since X is an $\mathcal{X} - projective$ complex, we have the following commutative diagram;

$$\begin{tikzcd}
M(id)[-1] \arrow[r, "\pi"'] & Y \arrow[r] & 0 \\
X \arrow[u, dotted, "g"] \arrow[ur, "f"] & &
\end{tikzcd}$$

where $\pi g = f$. Let $\pi_1^n : M(id)[-1]^n \longrightarrow Y[-1]^n$ be projection for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}$. Then if we take as $s^n = \pi_1^n g^n$, then for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, $s^{n+1}\lambda^n + \gamma^{n-1}s^n = f^n$ where λ and γ are boundary maps of the complexes of X and Y, respectively. So f is homotopic to zero. □

Lemma 3.3. *Let $\mathcal{X}$ be extension closed. Let every R-module have an epic $\mathcal{X}$-projective precover with kernel in $\mathcal{X}$. Then every bounded complex in $C(\mathcal{X}^*)$ has an epic exact $C(\mathcal{X} - projective)$-precover (which is also in $\varepsilon_{\mathcal{X}-projective}$ if $(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{X}^{\perp})$ is a complete cotorsion pair) with kernel in $C(\mathcal{X}^*)$ (which is also in DG-$\mathcal{X}-$projective-injective$=(\varepsilon_{\mathcal{X}-projective})^{\perp}$). Moreover if $\mathcal{X}$ is a class of finitely generated modules, then every bounded complex in $C(\mathcal{X}^*)$ has an epic exact $C(\mathcal{X} - f.g.projective)$-precover. Thus every bounded $\mathcal{X} - (f.g.)projective$ complex in $C(\mathcal{X}^*)$ is exact (in $\varepsilon_{\mathcal{X}-projective}$ if $(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{X}^{\perp})$ is a cotorsion pair).*

Proof. Let $Y(n) : ... \to 0 \to Y^0 \to Y^1 \to ... \to Y^n \to 0 \to ... \in C(\mathcal{X}^*)$. We use induction on n. Let $n = 0$, then we have the following commutative diagram;

$$\begin{tikzcd}
D(0) : ... \arrow[r] & 0 \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & P^0 \arrow[r, "id"] \arrow[d, "f^0"] & P^0 \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & 0 \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & \cdots \\
Y(0) : ... \arrow[r] & 0 \arrow[r] & Y^0 \arrow[r] & 0 \arrow[r] & 0 \arrow[r] & \cdots
\end{tikzcd}$$

where $P^0 \to Y^0 \to 0$ is an $\mathcal{X}$-projective precover in $\mathcal{X}$ with kernel in $\mathcal{X}$ since $\mathcal{X}$ is extension closed , $D(0)$ is exact and $Ker(D(0) \to Y(0)) \in \mathcal{C}(\mathcal{X}^*)$. We assume the following diagram which is commutative;

$$\begin{tikzcd}
D(n) : ...0 \arrow[r] & P^0 \arrow[r, "\lambda^0"] \arrow[d, "f^0"] & P^0 \oplus P^1 \arrow[r, "\lambda^1"] \arrow[d, "{(0,f^1)}"] & ...P^{n-1} \oplus P^n \arrow[r, "\lambda_1^n"] \arrow[d, "{(0,f^n)}"] & P^n... \arrow[d] \\
Y(n) : ...0 \arrow[r] & Y^0 \arrow[r, "a^0"] & Y^1 \arrow[r, "a^1"] & ...Y^n \arrow[r] & 0...
\end{tikzcd}$$

where λ_1^n is onto, $D(n)$ is an exact C($\mathcal{X} - projective$)-precover of $Y(n)$ such that $Ker(D(n) \to Y(n)) \in \mathcal{C}(\mathcal{X}^*)$ and the $P^i \to Y^i \to 0$ are $\mathcal{X}$-projective precovers in $\mathcal{X}$ with kernels in $\mathcal{X}$ for $1 \leq i \leq n$. Since $D(n) \to Y(n) \to 0$ and $\overline{P^{n+1}} \to \underline{Y^{n+1}} \to 0$ are C($\mathcal{X} - projective$)-precovers, we have the following commutative diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
D(n) \arrow[r, "s"] \arrow[d] & \overline{P^{n+1}} \arrow[d] \\
Y(n) \arrow[r] & \underline{Y^{n+1}}
\end{tikzcd}$$

Thus we have the diagram as follow:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
D(n):...0 \arrow[r] & P^0 \arrow[r, "\lambda^0"] \arrow[d] & P^0\oplus P^1... \arrow[r, "\lambda^{n-1}"] \arrow[d] & P^{n-1}\oplus P^n \arrow[r, "\lambda_1^n"] \arrow[d, "s^1"] & P^n... \arrow[d, "s^2"] \\
\overline{P^{n+1}}:...0 \arrow[r] & 0 \arrow[r] & 0... \arrow[r] & P^{n+1} \arrow[r, "1"] & P^{n+1}...
\end{tikzcd}$$

where $s^2\lambda_1^n = s^1$ and $s^1\lambda^{n-1} = 0$. Moreover we see that $f^{n+1}s^1 = a^n(0, f^n)$ and $f^{n+1}s^2 = 0$ by the following diagrams:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
P^{n-1}\oplus P^n \arrow[r, "s^1"] \arrow[d, "(0{,}f^n)"] & P^{n+1} \arrow[d, "f^{n+1}"] \\
Y^n \arrow[r, "a^n"] & Y^{n+1}
\end{tikzcd}$$

$$\begin{tikzcd}
P^n \arrow[r, "s^2"] \arrow[d] & P^{n+1} \arrow[d, "f^{n+1}"] \\
0 \arrow[r] & Y^{n+1}
\end{tikzcd}$$

Let $\lambda^n(x, y) = (\lambda_1^n(x, y), s^1(x, y))$, $\quad \lambda_1^{n+1}(x, y) = s^2(x) - y$. Then we have the commutative diagram:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
D(n+1):... \arrow[r] & P^0... \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "f^0"] & P^{n-1}\oplus P^n \arrow[r, "\lambda^n"] \arrow[d, "(0{,}f^n)"] & P^n\oplus P^{n+1} \arrow[r, "\lambda_1^{n+1}"] \arrow[d, "(0{,}f^{n+1})"] & P^{n+1}... \arrow[d] \\
Y(n+1):... \arrow[r] & Y^0... \arrow[r] & Y^n \arrow[r, "a^n"] & Y^{n+1} \arrow[r] & 0...
\end{tikzcd}$$

where $Ker(D(n+1) \to Y(n+1)) \in C(\mathcal{X}^*)$ and since λ_1^{n+1} is onto, $Im(\lambda^n) = Ker(\lambda_1^{n+1})$, $Im(\lambda^{n-1}) = Ker(\lambda^n)$ and $D(n)$ is exact, $D(n+1)$ is exact. Therefore, $Y(n)$ has a C($\mathcal{X}$-projective) precover. $\square$

We know that the direct (inverse) limit of exact complexes is also exact. Then we can give the following.

Corollary 3.4. *Let $(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{X}^{\perp})$ be a complete cotorsion pair. Then every bounded complex in $C(\mathcal{X}^*)$ has an $\varepsilon_{\mathcal{X}-projective}$-precover.*

Lemma 3.5. *If $\mathcal{X}$ be extension closed and every R-module has a monic $\mathcal{X}$-injective preenvelope with cokernel in $\mathcal{X}$, then every bounded complex* *in C($\mathcal{X}^*$) has a monic exact C($\mathcal{X}$-injective)-preenvelope (which is also in* $\varepsilon_{\mathcal{X}-injective}$ *if* $(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{X}^{\perp})$ *is a complete cotorsion pair) with cokernel in C($\mathcal{X}^*$) (which is also in DG-$\mathcal{X}$ − injective-projective=*$^{\perp}(\varepsilon_{\mathcal{X}-injective})$*). Moreover if $\mathcal{X}$ is a class of finitely generated modules, then every bounded complex in C($\mathcal{X}^*$) has a monic exact C($\mathcal{X}$ − f.g.injective)-preenvelope. Thus every bounded $\mathcal{X}-(f.g.)$injective complex in C($\mathcal{X}^*$) is exact.*

Proof. Let $Y(n) : ... \to 0 \to Y_n \to Y_{n-1} \to ... \to Y_0 \to 0 \to ....$ We use induction on n. Let $n = 0$, then we have the following commutative diagram;

$$\begin{tikzcd}
Y(0) : ...0 \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & 0 \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & Y_0 \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & 0... \\
E(0) : ...0 \arrow[r] & E_0 \arrow[r, "id"] & E_0 \arrow[r] & 0...
\end{tikzcd}$$

where $0 \to Y_0 \to E_0$ is a monic preenvelope in $\mathcal{X}$ with cokernel in $\mathcal{X}$ and thus $E(0)$ is an exact preenvelope of $Y(0)$ with cokernel in $C(\mathcal{X}^*)$. We assume the following diagram which is commutative;

$$\begin{tikzcd}
Y(n) : ...0 \arrow[r] & 0 \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & Y_n \arrow[r, "a_n"] \arrow[d, "{(f_n,0)}"] & \cdots \arrow[r] & Y_0 \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "f_0"] & 0 \\
E(n) : ...0 \arrow[r] & E_n \arrow[r, "\lambda_n^1"] & E_n \oplus E_{n-1} \arrow[r, "\lambda_{n-1}"] & \cdots \arrow[r] & E_0 \arrow[r] & 0
\end{tikzcd}$$

where the $0 \to Y_i \to E_i$ are $\mathcal{X}$-injective preenvelopes in $\mathcal{X}$ with cokernel in $\mathcal{X}$ for $1 \leq i \leq n$, $E(n)$ is exact and cokernel $(Y(n) \to E(n)) \in C(\mathcal{X}^*)$. Since $0 \to Y_n \to E_n$ and $0 \to \underline{Y_{n+1}} \to \overline{E_{n+1}}$ are C($\mathcal{X}$-injective)-preenvelopes, we have the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\underline{Y_{n+1}} \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & Y(n) \arrow[d] \\
\overline{E_{n+1}} \arrow[r] & E(n)
\end{tikzcd}$$

Then we have the diagram as follow:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\overline{E_{n+1}} : ...0 \arrow[r] & E_{n+1} \arrow[r, "1"] \arrow[d, "s_{n+1}"] & E_{n+1} \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "s_n"] & 0 \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & \cdots \\
E(n) : ...0 \arrow[r] & E_n \arrow[r, "\lambda_n^1"] & E_n \oplus E_{n-1} \arrow[r, "\lambda_{n-1}"] & \cdots \arrow[r] & \cdots
\end{tikzcd}$$

where $s_n = \lambda_n^1 s_{n+1}$ and $\lambda_{n-1} s_n = 0$. Moreover we see that $(f_n, 0)a_{n+1} = s_n f_{n+1}$ and $\lambda_n^1 s_{n+1} = s_n$ by the following diagrams:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
Y_{n+1} \arrow[r, "a_{n+1}"] \arrow[d, "f_{n+1}"] & Y_n \arrow[d, "{(f_n,0)}"] \\
E_{n+1} \arrow[r, "s_n"] & E_n \oplus E_{n-1}
\end{tikzcd}$$

$$\begin{tikzcd}
E_{n+1} \arrow[r, "s_{n+1}"] \arrow[d, "1"] & E_n \arrow[d, "\lambda_n^1"] \\
E_{n+1} \arrow[r, "s_n"] & E_n \oplus E_{n-1}
\end{tikzcd}$$

Let $\lambda_{n+1}^1(x) = (x, -s_{n+1}(x)), \quad \lambda_n(x, y) = s_n(x) + \lambda_n^1(y)$. Then we have the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
Y(n+1) : ...0 \arrow[r] & 0 \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & Y_{n+1} \arrow[r, "a_{n+1}"] \arrow[d, "{(f_{n+1},0)}"] & Y_n... \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "{(f_n,0)}"] & Y_0... \arrow[d, "f^0"] \\
E(n+1) : ...0 \arrow[r] & E_{n+1} \arrow[r, "\lambda_{n+1}^1"] & E_{n+1} \oplus E_n \arrow[r, "\lambda_n"] & E_n \oplus E_{n-1}... \arrow[r] & E_0...
\end{tikzcd}$$

where $E(n+1)$ is exact and cokernel in $C(\mathcal{X}^*)$. Therefore, $Y(n)$ has a C($\mathcal{X} - (f.g.)injective$)-preenvelope. $\square$

Corollary 3.6. *Let $(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{X}^{\perp})$ be a complete cotorsion pair. Then every bounded complex in $C(\mathcal{X}^*)$ has an $\varepsilon_{\mathcal{X}-injective}$-preenvelope.*

Theorem 3.7. *Let $\mathcal{X}$ be closed under extensions. Let every R-module have a monic(epic)$\mathcal{X}$-injective(projective) preenvelope(precover) with cokernel (kernel)in $\mathcal{X}$. Then every left (right) bounded complex in $C(\mathcal{X}^*)$ has a monic (epic) exact $C(\mathcal{X}$-injective(projective)) preenvelope(precover).*
Moreover if $(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{X}^{\perp})$ is a complete cotorsion pair, then every left (right)

bounded complex in C($\mathcal{X}^*$) has a monic (epic)$\varepsilon_{\mathcal{X}-injective(projective)}$-preenvelope (precover).

Proof. Let $Y : ... \to 0 \to Y^0 \to Y^1 \to ...$ and $E(n)$ be a C($\mathcal{X}$-injective) preenvelope of $Y(n) : ... \to 0 \to Y^0 \to ... \to Y^n \to 0 \to ....$ Then $\underleftarrow{lim}Y(n) = Y$. By Lemma 3.5, $Y(n)$ has a C($\mathcal{X}$-injective) preenvelope $E(n)$ such that $0 \to Y(n) \to E(n)$ is exact. Then by Theorem 1.5.13 in [2] $0 \to \underleftarrow{lim}Y(n) \to \underleftarrow{lim}E(n)$ is exact with cokernel $\underleftarrow{lim}\frac{E(n)}{Y(n)} \in C(\mathcal{X}^*)$. Since $Ext^1(\frac{A}{B}, \underleftarrow{lim}E(n)) = 0$ where $\frac{A}{B} \in C(\mathcal{X}^*)$, $\underleftarrow{lim}E(n)$ is an exact C($\mathcal{X}$-injective)preenvelope of Y. The other parts are also proved similarly. □

Corollary 3.8. *i)If $\mathcal{X}$ is closed under direct sums and extensions and a special precovering and preenveloping class, then every left (right) bounded complex has a monic (epic) exact C($\mathcal{X}$-injective(projective)) preenvelope (precover) where $\mathcal{X} - inj$ and $\mathcal{X} - proj \subseteq \mathcal{X}$.*
ii)If $(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{X}^{\perp})$ is a complete cotorsion pair and C($\mathcal{X}^*$) is closed under inverse limit, then every complex has a monic (epic) exact C($\mathcal{X}$-injective(projective)) preenvelope (which is in $\varepsilon_{\mathcal{X}-injective(projective)}$) where $\mathcal{X} - inj$ and $\mathcal{X} - proj \subseteq \mathcal{X}$.

Proof. Since every (left (right)bounded) complex has a monic (epic) (left(right) bounded) C($\mathcal{X}^*$) envelope (cover) under this conditions, by Theorem 3.7, Lemma 3.3 and Lemma 3.5 every (left (right)bounded) complex has the requires, too. □

Theorem 3.9. *Let $\mathcal{X}$ be a class of finitely presented modules and be closed under extensions and direct limit. Let every R-module have a monic $\mathcal{X}$-injective preenvelope with cokernel in $\mathcal{X}$. Then every right bounded complex in C($\mathcal{X}^*$) has a monic exact C($\mathcal{X}$-f.g.injective) preenvelope. Moreover every right bounded $\mathcal{X}$-f.g.injective complex in C($\mathcal{X}^*$) is exact.*

Proof. Let $Y : ... \to Y_2 \to Y_1 \to Y_0 \to 0 \to ...$ and $E(n)$ be a C($\mathcal{X}$-f.g.injective) preenvelope of $Y(n) : ... \to 0 \to Y_n \to ... \to Y_1 \to Y_0 \to 0 \to ....$ Then $\underrightarrow{lim}Y(n) = Y$. By Lemma 3.5, $Y(n)$ has a C($\mathcal{X}$-f.g.injective) preenvelope $E(n)$ such that $0 \to Y(n) \to E(n)$ is exact. Then by Theorem 1.5.6 in [2] $0 \to \underrightarrow{lim}Y(n) \to \underrightarrow{lim}E(n)$ is exact with cokernel $\underrightarrow{lim}\frac{E(n)}{Y(n)} \in C(\mathcal{X}^*)$. Since $\mathcal{X}$ is a class of finitely presented modules, $Ext^1(\frac{A}{B}, \underrightarrow{lim}E(n)) = 0$ where $\frac{A}{B}$ is a finitely presented complex in $C(\mathcal{X}^*)$. So $\underrightarrow{lim}E(n)$ is an exact C($\mathcal{X}$-f.g.injective) preenvelope of Y. □

Theorem 3.10. *Let $\mathcal{X}$ be a class of finitely presented modules and closed under extensions and direct limits and $C(\mathcal{X}^*)$ be closed under inverse limits. Let every R-module have a monic $\mathcal{X}$-injective preenvelope with cokernel in $\mathcal{X}$. Then every complex in C($\mathcal{X}^*$) has a monic exact C($\mathcal{X}$-f.g.injective) preenvelope. Then every $\mathcal{X}$-f.g.injective complex in C($\mathcal{X}^*$) is exact.*

Proof. Let $Y : ... \to Y_2 \to Y_1 \to Y_0 \to Y_{-1} \to ...$ and $Y(n) : ... \to Y_1 \to Y_0 \to ... \to Y_{-n} \to 0 \to ....$ Then $\underleftarrow{lim} Y(n) = Y$. By Theorem 3.9, $Y(n)$ has a C($\mathcal{X}$-f.g.injective) preenvelope $D(n)$ such that $0 \to Y(n) \to D(n)$ is monic and $\frac{D(n)}{Y(n)} \in C(\mathcal{X}^*)$. So, $0 \to \underleftarrow{lim} Y(n) \to \underleftarrow{lim} D(n)$ is monic with cokernel $\underleftarrow{lim} \frac{D(n)}{Y(n)} \in C(\mathcal{X}^*)$ by Theorem 1.5.13 in [2]. Since $Ext^1(\frac{A}{B}, \underleftarrow{lim} D(n)) \cong \underleftarrow{lim} Ext^1(\frac{A}{B}, D(n)) = 0$ where $\frac{A}{B}$ is a f.g. complex in $C(\mathcal{X}^*)$, $\underleftarrow{lim} D(n)$ is a C($\mathcal{X}$-f.g.injective) preenvelope of Y. □

Example 3.11. *Let $\mathcal{X}$ be a class of R-modules closed under quotients, extensions and direct sums (so it is closed under direct limits since it is closed pure quotient) (for the existence of such classes, if $\mathcal{X}$ is a class of injective modules on a hereditary noetherian ring which is constructed in* [7]*, then $\mathcal{X}$ is closed under quotients, extensions and direct limits and moreover if $\mathcal{X}$ is the class of min-injective modules and simple ideals of ring R are projective, then it is closed under quotients, extensions and direct sums) and A and B are in $\mathcal{X}$ such that $\phi : A \to B$ is a homomorphism. Then by Theorem 2.10 in* [5]*, we have monic $\mathcal{X} -$ injective-preenvelopes such that $f : A \to E_A$ and $g : B \to E_B$ with cokernels in $\mathcal{X}$. Then there exists a homomorphism $s : E_A \to E_B$ such that $g\phi = sf$. Using Lemma 3.5 we can determine an exact C($\mathcal{X} -$ injective)-preenvelope $E(1)$ of complex $Y(1)$ as follow:*

$$\begin{tikzcd}
Y(1) : ...0 \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & 0 \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & A \arrow[r, "\phi"] \arrow[d, "{(f,0)}"] & B \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "g"] & 0... \\
E(1) : ...0 \arrow[r] & E_A \arrow[r, "\alpha"] & E_A \oplus E_B \arrow[r, "\beta"] & E_B \arrow[r] & 0...
\end{tikzcd}$$

where $\alpha(x) = (x, -s(x))$ and $\beta(x, y) = s(x) + y$. Then every left (right) bounded complex in $C(\mathcal{X}^*)$ has a monic (epic) exact C(X-injective(projective)) preenvelope(precover) by Theorem 3.7. Moreover if $C(\mathcal{X}^*)$ is closed under inverse limit, by Theorem 3.10 every complex has a monic exact C($\mathcal{X}$-f.g.injective) preenvelope.

Example 3.12. *Let $\mathcal{X}$ be the class of finitely presented modules and $(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{X}^{\perp})$ be a cotorsion pair. Then $(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{X}^{\perp})$ is a complete cotorsion pair. If $C(\mathcal{X}^*)$ is closed under inverse limits, then by Corollary 3.8 every complex has a monic (epic) exact C($\mathcal{X}$-injective(projective)) preenvelope (which is in $\varepsilon_{\mathcal{X}-injective(projective)}$) where $\mathcal{X}-inj$ and $\mathcal{X}-proj \subseteq \mathcal{X}$. Moreover by Theorem 3.7 every left (right) bounded complex in C($\mathcal{X}^*$) has a monic (epic)$\varepsilon_{\mathcal{X}-injective(projective)}$-preenvelope (precover) and by Theorem 3.10 every complex in $C(\mathcal{X}^*)$ has a monic exact C(X-f.g.injective) preenvelope.*

Theorem 3.13. *A complex X is contained in a minimial $\mathcal{X}-injective$ complex X'.*

Proof. We know that every complex has an injective envelope. Let $S = \{A : X \subseteq A \subseteq E \textit{ and } A\ \mathcal{X} - \textit{injective complex}\} \neq \emptyset$ and S' be a descending chain of S. We will show that $\cap_{A_\alpha \in S'}\{A_\alpha : \alpha \in I\}$ is an $\mathcal{X} - \textit{injective}$ complex. Using this pushout diagram we have the following diagram where C with $\mathcal{X}^*$-complex,

$$\begin{tikzcd}
0 \arrow[r] & \cap A_\alpha \arrow[r, "\beta"] \arrow[d, "\theta_\alpha"] & Y \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "\phi"] & C \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & 0 \\
0 \arrow[r] & A_\alpha \arrow[r, "\gamma_\alpha"] & B_\alpha \arrow[r] & C \arrow[r] & 0
\end{tikzcd}$$

Then the bottom row is split exact. Therefore $0 \longrightarrow \cap A_\alpha \longrightarrow \cap B_\alpha \longrightarrow C \longrightarrow 0$ is split exact. We have the following diagram;

$$\begin{tikzcd}
0 \arrow[r] & \cap A_\alpha \arrow[r, "\beta"] \arrow[d] & Y \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "\phi"] & C \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & 0 \\
0 \arrow[r] & \cap A_\alpha \arrow[r, "\gamma"] & \cap B_\alpha \arrow[r] & C \arrow[r] & 0
\end{tikzcd}$$

By five lemma ϕ is an isomorphism. Therefore $0 \longrightarrow \cap A_\alpha \longrightarrow Y \longrightarrow C \longrightarrow 0$ is split exact. So S has a minimal element, say X'. □

References

[1] Enochs,E.E.,Jenda, O.M.G. , and Xu, J., *Orthogonality in the category of complexes*, Math. J. Okayama Univ., 38:25-46, (1996).

[2] Enochs, E.E., Jenda, O.M.G., *Relative Homological Algebra*, de Gruyter Ex. Math. Volume 30, Walter de Gruyter and Co., Berlin (2000).

[3] Gillespie, J., *The flat model structure on Ch(R)*, Trans Amer. Math. Soc.,356(8): 3369-3390 (2004).

[4] Holm, H., Jørgensen, P., *Covers, precovers and purity*, Illinois Journal of Math., **52(2)**, Number **2**, 691-703, (2008).

[5] Mao, Lixin , Ding, Nanqing, *L-injective Hulls of Modules*, Bull. Austral. Math. Soc.,74:37-44, (2006).

[6] Rotman, Joseph J., *An Introduction to Homological Algebra*, Springer, New York (2009).

[7] Stafford, J.T., Warfield, R.B., *Construction of Hereditary Noetherian Rings*, Proc. London Math. Soc. (3), 51:1-20, (1985).

Department of Mathematics, Abant İzzet Baysal University
Gölköy Kampüsü Bolu, Turkey
E-mail address: `ozen_t@ibu.edu.tr`
E-mail address: `emineyyildirim@gmail.com`